INTRODUCTION
Over the last decade, reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) has evolved from a surgical technique in which the objectives were ‘isometric’ femoral tunnel placement and avoidance of intercondylar notch roof impingement, towards an ‘anatomic’ surgical technique which attempts to restore the anatomy of the native ACL. Achieving the goals of isometric ACL graft placement and avoidance of roof impingement often resulted in the ACL femoral tunnel extending into the roof of the notch, outside of the native ACL femoral attachment site and the tibial tunnel being positioned in the posterior half of the native ACL tibial attachment site. Although this approach decreased roof impingement of the ACL graft and minimised the need for a notchplasty, when performed using a transtibial surgical technique it often produced a non-anatomic, vertically oriented ACL graft in both the coronal and sagittal planes. It should be noted that the classic 2-incision surgical approach had previously placed the ACL femoral tunnel on the sidewall of the notch within the native ACL femoral attachment site and the tibial tunnel in the centre of the native ACL tibial attachment site. Publications of the 2-incision technique reported a low failure rate (return of a positive pivot-shift test) and a low rate of revision ACL surgery. Biomechanical studies have demonstrated that a vertical ACL graft may resist anterior tibial translation, but often fails to resist the combined motions of anterior tibial translation and internal tibial rotation which occur during the pivot-shift phenomenon. The inability of a vertical ACL graft to resist these combined motions may result in the patient continuing to complain of symptoms of instability and continuing to experience giving-way episodes despite having an intact ACL graft. The goal of performing an anatomic ACL reconstruction is to reproduce the anatomy of the native ACL as closely as possible.

It has been proven biomechanically and clinically that anatomic ACL reconstructions better restore anterior tibial translation, rotational stability and normal knee kinematics compared to non-anatomic ACL reconstructions. It is hoped, but not yet proven, that by restoring more normal knee kinematics, anatomic ACL reconstruction techniques will lead to better clinical outcomes as well as decrease the incidence of osteoarthritis after ACL reconstruction.

WHAT IS ANATOMIC ACL RECONSTRUCTION?
According to van Eck et al, ‘anatomic’ ACL reconstruction is defined as “the functional restoration of the ACL to its native dimensions, collagen orientation and insertion sites.”

• The first principle of anatomic ACL reconstruction is to reproduce as closely as possible the size, shape and location of the native ACL attachment sites.
• The second principle is to restore the...
two functional bundles of the ACL\textsuperscript{2,15} (Figure 1). In order to create an ACL replacement graft that reproduces the behaviour of the two functional bundles of the ACL, it is necessary to reproduce the size, shape and location of the native ACL attachment sites.

- The third principle is that the ACL replacement graft should reproduce the tensioning pattern of the native ACL\textsuperscript{2}. The anteromedial (AM) bundle fibres of the native ACL are taut throughout the range of motion, while the posterolateral (PL) bundle fibres tighten rapidly during the last 30 degrees of extension. The reconstructed ACL graft should mimic this tensioning pattern.

- The final principle of anatomic ACL reconstruction is to individualise the surgical procedure for each patient\textsuperscript{2,15-17}. Every patient and every knee is different, so the same operation should not be performed in every case.

A common misconception is that anatomic ACL reconstruction implies that the surgeon must always perform a double-bundle (DB) ACL reconstruction. However, it is important to recognise that restoring the two functional bundles of the ACL does not always require the surgeon to perform a DB ACL reconstruction. Anatomic ACL reconstruction is a concept and not a specific surgical procedure. The concept of anatomic ACL reconstruction can be applied to single-bundle (SB) reconstructions (Figure 2), DB reconstructions (Figure 3), augmentation of partial ACL tears (Figure 4), ACL remnant preservation (Figure 5) and revision ACL reconstruction with an intact ACL graft (Figure 6). The specific surgical procedure should be based on the ACL injury pattern: complete ACL tear,

\textbf{Figure 1:} Right knee showing the anteromedial (AM) and posterolateral (PL) bundles. a) Frontal view. b) Lateral view.

\textbf{Figure 2:} Single-bundle ACL reconstruction.
partial ACL, intact ACL remnants, the size of the native ACL attachment sites and the degree of rotational instability. Hussein et al\textsuperscript{17} have shown that when anatomic ACL reconstructions are individualised to the size, shape and orientation of the patient’s native ACL, SB and DB ACL reconstructions yield similar subjective and objective results.

RESTORATION OF THE NATIVE ACL ATTACHMENT SITES

One of the objectives of anatomic ACL reconstruction is to reproduce as closely as possible the size, shape and location of the native ACL attachment sites\textsuperscript{1,2,15,18,19}. During surgery, a malleable ACL ruler can be used to measure the length and width of the ACL attachment sites\textsuperscript{1,15,18,19} (Figure 7). These measurements can be of help to the surgeon when selecting the type of ACL replacement graft and the surgical procedure. Four-strand hamstring tendon grafts may adequately restore 12 to 14 mm long ACL attachment sites, while attachment sites that are 16 mm or longer may be better restored with larger diameter ACL graft constructs such as 5- and 6-strand hamstring tendon grafts, a bone-patellar tendon-bone graft, a quadriceps tendon graft or by performing a double-bundle ACL reconstruction\textsuperscript{19}. This concept is supported by recent clinical studies that have demonstrated a higher failure rate for hamstring tendon ACL reconstructions when the diameter of the ACL replacement graft is less than 8 mm\textsuperscript{20,21}.

Figure 3: Double-bundle ACL reconstruction.
Figure 4: Augmentation techniques.
  a) Isolated posterolateral (PL) bundle reconstruction.
  b) Isolated anteromedial (AM) bundle reconstruction.
Figure 5: a) Intact ACL remnant. b) Remnant preserving ACL reconstruction.
Figure 6: a) Intact vertical ACL reconstruction.
  b) Revision ACL reconstruction preserving the intact vertical ACL graft.
Figure 7: Malleable ACL ruler is used to measure the length of the native ACL.
  a) Tibial attachment site.
  b) Femoral attachment site.
WHERE DO WE PLACE THE BONE TUNNELS FOR AN ANATOMIC ACL RECONSTRUCTION?

Operationally, an ‘anatomic’ ACL reconstruction refers to a SB, DB, ACL augmentation procedure, ACL remnant preservation or revision ACL reconstruction in which the bone tunnels are placed at the centre of the native ACL attachment sites or at the centre of the AM and PL bundle attachment sites. This article will focus on SB ACL reconstruction since this is the surgical technique performed by the majority of knee surgeons. For SB ACL reconstruction, the bone tunnels are placed at the centre of the native ACL femoral and tibial attachment sites (Figure 8). This recommendation is based on biomechanical studies which demonstrate that compared to non-anatomic PL to high-AM ACL graft placement which is often achieved using the transtibial surgical technique or other matched ACL tunnel positions located within the native ACL attachment sites, a SB ACL graft placed at the centre of the native ACL attachment sites is more effective at controlling anterior tibial translation and the pivot-shift phenomena, and more closely reproduces normal knee kinematics.

WHAT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES ALLOW ANATOMIC FEMORAL TUNNEL PLACEMENT?

Two-incision technique

Arthroscopically assisted ACL reconstruction was introduced in the late 1980s using a two-incision surgical technique. In the two-incision technique, the ACL femoral tunnel is drilled from an outside-in direction through a small distal femoral incision (Figure 9). In the two-incision surgical technique, the ACL femoral tunnel position is independent of the position of the ACL tibial tunnel. With independent drilling of the ACL femoral tunnel it is possible to place the ACL femoral tunnel within the native ACL femoral attachment site 100% of the time. Long-term clinical studies of ACL reconstructions performed using the two-incision surgical technique have demonstrated excellent subjective and objective clinical outcomes with a low percentage of the knees having a positive pivot shift test. Recognition of
the limitations of the transtibial surgical technique and introduction of retro reaming femoral drills has recently led to a resurgence of the two-incision surgical technique.

**Transtibial surgical technique**

The transtibial surgical technique was developed in the early 1990s. In this surgical technique the ACL femoral tunnel was drilled through the ACL tibial tunnel (Figure 10). Until recently, this surgical technique was the one most commonly used by knee surgeons to perform an ACL reconstruction. The popularity of this surgical technique resulted from the fact that it eliminated the need for a second incision, thus decreasing operating time and surgical morbidity and improving cosmesis. Another reason for the popularity of this technique was the fact that the use of an offset femoral aimer made the procedure reproducible in the hands of the average knee surgeon. However, in the transtibial technique, the ACL femoral tunnel position was linked to the position of the ACL tibial tunnel. To meet the simultaneous requirements of isometric femoral tunnel placement and avoidance of roof impingement of the ACL graft, it was necessary to drill a tibial tunnel located in the posterior half of the native ACL tibial attachment site. As discussed earlier, the combination of a posterior tibial tunnel position and a high, deep femoral tunnel position often produced a vertical ACL graft. Advocates of the transtibial technique claim that it is possible to position the ACL femoral tunnel in the centre of the ACL femoral attachment site using a transtibial technique. However, it has been demonstrated that in order to position the ACL femoral tunnel in the centre of the ACL femoral attachment site, a very medial and proximal starting position for the ACL tibial tunnel must be chosen. This starting position may result in a very short tibial tunnel which limits the length of the ACL graft available for healing in the tibial tunnel. A short tibial tunnel may also result in a graft-tunnel mismatch which can compromise fixation of bone-patellar tendon-bone grafts. In the transtibial technique, anatomic ACL femoral tunnel placement is facilitated by drilling a 10 to 11 mm diameter tibial tunnel. A large diameter tibial tunnel may allow the offset femoral tunnel to be rotated down the lateral wall of the intercondylar notch, thus achieving a more anatomic placement of the ACL femoral tunnel. However, due to the smaller size of tibial tunnels used for hamstring tendon ACL reconstructions, the transtibial drilling technique does not allow the surgeon to position the ACL femoral tunnel for a hamstring tendon ACL reconstruction within the native ACL femoral attachment site. As a result of biomechanical and clinical studies demonstrating that improved rotational stability can be obtained with other surgical techniques which use independent drilling
of the ACL femoral tunnel, the popularity of the transtibial surgical technique has decreased.\textsuperscript{4,7-14,22,26,29,30}

**Medial portal surgical technique**

The medial portal surgical technique for ACL reconstruction was first developed to address the issues of ACL graft laceration, violation of the posterior wall of the ACL femoral tunnel, divergence of ACL femoral interference screws and tunnel-graft-length mismatch associated with bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft ACL reconstructions performed using the transtibial technique.\textsuperscript{1}

In the medial portal surgical technique the ACL femoral tunnel is drilled through an anteromedial or accessory anteromedial portal with the knee flexed to 120° or higher (Figure 12). This approach provides several advantages compared to the traditional transtibial technique:

1. **First of all,** the ACL femoral tunnel is drilled independently of the tibial tunnel which allows the ACL femoral tunnel to be placed within the native ACL femoral attachment site, 100% of the time.\textsuperscript{23}

2. **Secondly,** the intra-articular position and the angle of the ACL tibial tunnel do not have to be compromised to accommodate drilling of the ACL femoral tunnel. Therefore, the surgeon can position the tibial tunnel in the centre of the footprint and is free to drill a steeper and thus longer tibial tunnel. A longer tibial tunnel minimises the potential for graft-tunnel length mismatch and allows longer bone-tendon-bone graft constructs to be utilised.

3. **Thirdly,** in the medial portal technique, femoral interference fixation screws are inserted through the same medial portal which was used to drill the ACL femoral tunnel, thus minimising screw-tunnel divergence.

4. **Finally,** the medial portal technique provides improved arthroscopic visualisation during ACL femoral tunnel drilling since the femoral tunnel can be drilled under ideal arthroscopic conditions without the loss of joint distension due to fluid extravasation out of the tibial tunnel.

As a result of these advantages, the medial portal technique has become the preferred surgical technique for performing ACL reconstruction.\textsuperscript{11,22}

**THE KEYS TO PERFORMING ANATOMIC ACL SURGERY**

Anatomic ACL surgery is facilitated by viewing the ACL femoral attachment site through the AM portal\textsuperscript{1,2,15,31}. Traditionally, the ACL femoral attachment site is viewed by placing the arthroscope in the anterolateral (AL) portal\textsuperscript{31}. However, viewing the ACL femoral attachment site through the AL portal gives the surgeon a ‘face on’ view of the ACL femoral attachment site which allows accurate spatial orientation in both the high-low and shallow-deep directions\textsuperscript{13,25,31} (Figure 13). As a result, the AM portal is the preferred portal for viewing the ACL femoral attachment site.

**Clock-face reference method**

The clock-face reference method has often been used to determine the location of the ACL femoral tunnel\textsuperscript{2,15}. However, the clock-face reference method has several shortcomings:

1. it ignores the depth of the intercondylar notch,
2. there is no agreed upon reference position for the 3 and 9 o’clock locations,
3. it relies on no known anatomic landmarks and
4. it cannot be used when viewing the ACL femoral attachment site through the AM portal\textsuperscript{12,25}.

Due to the above limitations, the clock-face reference has a limited role to play when performing an anatomic ACL reconstruction.
ACL femoral tunnel position is more accurately specified and located using the following methods.

Native ACL footprint

In most situations there are remnants of the native ACL footprint present to aid with anatomic ACL femoral tunnel placement. The borders of the ACL femoral attachment site are marked with a 90° electrocautery or thermal probe and the centre of the ACL femoral attachment site can be estimated using the ‘eyeball’ method. Although the eyeball method is fairly accurate for estimating the high-low position of the ACL femoral tunnel, due to distortion of the visual field that occurs with the use of a 30° angled arthroscope, this method tends to position the ACL femoral tunnel shallow relative to the true centre of the attachment site. The true centre of the ACL femoral attachment site can be more accurately located by using an ACL ruler to directly measure the length of the ACL femoral attachment site (Figure 14).

Lateral intercondylar and bifurcate ridges

When there are no remnants of the native ACL femoral footprint present, the underlying bony morphology of the ACL femoral attachment site can be used to assist with anatomic ACL femoral tunnel placement. The ACL femoral attachment site is defined by two bony ridges, the lateral intercondylar and the lateral bifurcate ridges (Figure 15). The lateral intercondylar ridge is an important anatomic landmark since the native ACL always attaches inferior to the lateral intercondylar ridge. The lateral intercondylar ridge is an important anatomic landmark since the native ACL always attaches inferior to the lateral intercondylar ridge. The lateral bifurcate ridge, which can be identified arthroscopically in 48% of sub-acute and chronic ACL deficient knees, runs perpendicular to the lateral intercondylar ridge and divides the ACL femoral attachment site into the attachment site areas for the PL and AM bundles. The centre of the ACL femoral attachment site is 1.7 mm deep or proximal to the bifurcate ridge and 7.3 to 8.5 mm superior or anterior to the inferior or posterior articular cartilage margin of the lateral femoral condyle (Figure 16).

ACL ruler

Use of a malleable ACL ruler allows the knee surgeon to individualise the location of the ACL femoral tunnel based on the specific anatomy of the patient. This approach allows for ‘a la carte’ or patient-specific surgery to be performed, vs the ‘one size fits all’ approach associated with the use of offset ACL femoral aimers. This technique is particularly useful for revision ACL reconstructive surgery where there are no ACL remnants present and the bony landmarks may have been destroyed by prior notchplasty or the previous ACL femoral bone tunnel. The ruler is positioned along the lower-third of the lateral wall.
Interoperative fluoroscopy is the most accurate and reproducible method for ACL femoral tunnel placement.

At the present time, interoperative fluoroscopy is the most accurate and reproducible method for ACL femoral tunnel placement. Fluoroscopy gives the surgeon the ability to precisely measure and if needed change the ACL femoral tunnel position during the surgical procedure. Fluoroscopy is especially valuable in revision cases where there will usually be no remnants of the native ACL present and the bony anatomy of the ACL femoral attachment site has been altered or removed by prior notchplasty and the previous ACL femoral tunnel. Fluoroscopy is also extremely helpful when attempting to preserve remnants of the torn ACL or performing an augmentation technique for a partial ACL tear. In these situations, identification of the lateral intercondylar and bifurcate ridges is not feasible as this would require resection of intact ACL fibres to expose the lateral wall of the notch. The ruler technique is also not possible in this situation since the intact ACL fibres prevent accurate positioning of the ruler along the lateral wall of the notch. Using fluoroscopy, the proper placement of the ACL femoral tunnel can be easily accomplished without the need to rely on remnants of the native ACL, measurements along the lateral wall of the notch or the bony anatomy of the ACL femoral attachment site. The grid system described by Bernard and Hertel is used to locate the centre of the ACL femoral attachment site (Figure 18). This method is easy to use, is reproducible and has been shown to be independent of the knee size, shape and the distance between the X-ray tube and the patient.

Using any or all of the above guidelines eliminates the need to use an offset ACL femoral aimer and referencing off the ‘over-the-top’ position to determine ACL femoral tunnel placement. ACL femoral offset aimers can constrain the location of the femoral guide pin and can lead to non-anatomic placement of the ACL femoral tunnel. The above guidelines allow the surgeon to select and verify the location of the ACL femoral tunnel position using established anatomic and radiographic landmarks.

Summary

Over the last decade the concept of ACL reconstruction has evolved from a surgical technique which focused on the concepts of isometry and avoidance of graft impingement to a surgical technique based on reproducing the anatomy of the native ACL as closely as possible. The stimulus for this change was the recognition that non-anatomic ACL reconstructions often failed to control rotational stability of the knee. The goal of SB ACL reconstruction is to place the ACL replacement at the centre of the native ACL attachment sites. This goal is best accomplished by using an outside-in or medial portal surgical technique and using recognised anatomic landmarks such as the native ACL footprint and the ACL ridges or by using an ACL ruler or fluoroscopy to obtain anatomic placement of the ACL femoral tunnel.
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